

# Spectra of Interacting Electrons in a Quantum Dot: Quasi-Exact Solution

Ramazan Koç, Hayriye Tütüncüler, and Eser Olğar\*

*Department of Physics, Faculty of Engineering  
University of Gaziantep, 27310 Gaziantep Turkey*

(Dated: [Received May 3 2004])

## Abstract

We present a procedure to solve the Schrödinger equation of two interacting electrons in a quantum dot in the presence of an external magnetic field within the context of quasi-exactly-solvable spectral problems. We show that the symmetries of the Hamiltonian can be recovered for specific values of the magnetic field, which leads to an exact determination of the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions. We show that the problem possesses a hidden  $sl_2$ -algebraic structure.

PACS numbers: 03.65 Fd, 03.65 Ca and 73.21 La

Keywords: Quantum dot, Quasi-exactly-solvable systems

---

\*Electronic address: koc@gantep.edu.tr,tutunculer@gantep.edu.tr,olgar@gantep.edu.tr

## I. INTRODUCTION

Recent developments in micro fabrication technology have allowed the creation of quantum dots (QDs) in semiconductor structures[1]. The QDs may be regarded as artificial atoms, with disk-like shapes, in which electrons are confined by an artificial electrostatic potential instead of being attracted to a nucleus. The electron confinement within the QD is described by a Hamiltonian that includes the Coulomb potential, as well as a parabolic potential. Since no general analytical solutions are available, the Hamiltonian has been solved numerically[2] by means of the shifted  $1/N$  expansion[3], the WKB treatment[4, 5] and the non-local potential method[6, 7]. A particular analytical solution of the Hamiltonian has been obtained by Taut[8]. The renormalization perturbation series method has been proposed by Matulis and Peeters to calculate the energy spectrum of the quantum dot[9], and exact solutions for two electrons in a parabolic quantum dot have been obtained by using a power series method[10].

Exact solvability of the Schrödinger equation has been the main interest since the early days of quantum mechanics[11]. It has been solved exactly for a large number of potentials by employing various techniques. In quantum mechanics, there exist potentials for which it is possible to find a finite number of eigenvalues and associated eigenfunction exactly in closed form. These systems are said to be quasi-exactly solvable[12, 13]. Recently, Turbiner pointed out that the Coulomb correlation problem for a system of electrons in an external oscillator potential is one of the quasi-exactly-solvable problems[14]. Hidden symmetries of two-electron quantum dots have been analyzed, and it has been shown that under certain conditions, the motion becomes integrable[15]. This indicates the existence of symmetries in the spectrum of the Hamiltonian. In this paper, we investigate the quasi-exact solvability of the interacting electrons confined in a QD by a parabolic potential in an external magnetic field. The results obtained here should be useful for checking and assessing numerical and approximate methods.

In this paper we will give a different treatment of interacting electrons in a QD. In Section II, we briefly review the construction of the Hamiltonian of two electrons confined in a QD in the presence of an external magnetic field. In Section III, we present an algebraic framework to show that the corresponding Hamiltonian possesses a hidden  $sl_2$ -algebraic structure and we obtain somewhat simpler recurrence relations, leading to exact eigenvalues

of the Hamiltonian. In Section IV, our results are summarized, and finally, Section V consists of discussions and concluding remarks.

## II. THEORY

Consider a QD containing two electrons in the presence of a magnetic field  $B$  perpendicular to the dot and a lateral potential  $m^*\omega_0^2 r^2/2$  that confines the electrons. The Hamiltonian of the present system is

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^2 \left( \frac{1}{2m_i^*} (P_i + eA(r_i))^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_i^* \omega_0^2 r_i^2 \right) + \frac{e^2}{\epsilon |r_2 - r_1|} \quad (1)$$

where  $m^*$ ,  $\omega_0$ , and  $\epsilon$  are the effective mass of the electrons, the confinement frequency and the dielectric constant of the medium, respectively.  $A(r_i)$  denotes the vector potential at the  $i^{th}$  particle's location, and the choice of the symmetric gauge vector potential  $A(r_i) = B/2(-y_i, x_i, 0)$  leads to the following Hamiltonian:

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^2 \left( \frac{P_i^2}{2m_i^*} + \frac{1}{2} m_i^* \omega^2 r_i^2 \right) + \frac{e^2}{\epsilon |r_2 - r_1|} + \frac{1}{2} \omega_c L_{tot}, \quad (2)$$

where  $\omega_c = eB/m^*$  stands for the cyclotron frequency of the electron,  $\omega = \sqrt{\omega_0^2 + (\frac{\omega_c}{2})^2}$  is the effective frequency, and  $L_{tot}$  is the total angular momentum along the  $z$ -direction. In order to show that the Hamiltonian in Eq. (2) is separable, we just need a straightforward analysis.

Now we introduce relative and center-of-mass coordinates:

$$r = r_2 - r_1, \quad R = \frac{1}{2}(r_1 + r_2). \quad (3)$$

In the new coordinates, the Hamiltonian in Eq. (2) is then separated into two parts, and it can be written as

$$H = 2H_r + \frac{1}{2}H_R, \quad (4)$$

where

$$H_r = \frac{p^2}{2m^*} + \frac{1}{2} m^* \omega^2 r^2 + \frac{e^2}{2\epsilon r} + \frac{1}{2} \omega_c L_r, \quad (5a)$$

$$H_R = \frac{P^2}{2m^*} + \frac{1}{2} m^* \omega^2 R^2 + \frac{1}{2} \omega_c L_R. \quad (5b)$$

It is obvious that  $L_{tot} = L_r + L_R$ . Equation (5b) is the Hamiltonian of the harmonic oscillator, and its eigenvalues are given by

$$E_R = (2N + |M| + 1)\hbar\omega + M\frac{\hbar\omega_c}{2}, \quad (6)$$

where  $N = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  denotes the radial quantum number and  $M = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$  is the azimuthal quantum number. Let us turn our attention to the solution of the Hamiltonian  $H_r$ . In the polar coordinate  $r = (r, \alpha)$ , if the eigenfunction

$$\phi = r^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{im\alpha} u(r) \quad (7)$$

is introduced, the Schrödinger equation  $H_r\phi = E_r\phi$ , can be expressed as

$$\left( -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m^*} \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m^*} \left(m^2 + \frac{1}{4}\right) \frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{1}{2} m^* \omega^2 r^2 + \frac{e^2}{2\epsilon r} + \frac{1}{2} \omega_c L_r \right) u(r) = E_r u(r) \quad (8)$$

From now on we restrict ourselves to the solution of Eq. (8).

### III. METHOD OF SOLUTION

In this section we show that the Schrödinger equation, Eq. (8), is one of the recently discovered quasi-exactly solvable operators [12, 13, 14]. It is well known that the underlying idea behind the quasi-exact solvability is the existence of a hidden algebraic structure. Let us introduce the following realization of the  $sl_2$ -algebra:

$$J_+ = r^2 \frac{d}{dr} - jr, \quad J_- = \frac{d}{dr}, \quad J_0 = r \frac{d}{dr} - \frac{j}{2}. \quad (9)$$

The generators satisfy the commutation relations of the  $sl_2$ -algebra for any value of the parameter  $j$ . If  $j$  is a positive integer, the algebra in Eq. (8) possesses a  $j + 1$ -dimensional irreducible representation:

$$P_{j+1} = \langle 1, r, r^2, \dots, r^j \rangle. \quad (10)$$

Linear and bilinear combinations of the operators given in Eq. (9) are quasi-exactly solvable when the space is defined as in Eq. (10). In order to show that the Schrödinger equation has a  $sl_2$ -symmetry, let us consider the following combinations of the operators in Eq. (9):

$$T = -J_- J_0 - \frac{1}{2}(4m + j)J_- + \hbar\omega J_+. \quad (11)$$

Then, the eigenvalue problem becomes

$$TP_k(r) = \lambda P_k(r) \quad (12)$$

where  $P_k(r)$  is the  $k^{th}$  degree polynomial in  $r$ . After changing the variable  $r \rightarrow \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2m^*}}r$  and substituting

$$u(r) = r^{m+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\hbar\omega}{4}r^2} P_k(r), \quad (13)$$

we can show that the eigenvalue equations, Eqs. (8) and (12), are identical when the following holds:

$$E_r = (j + |m| + 1)\hbar\omega + \frac{1}{2}m\hbar\omega_c, \quad \lambda = -\frac{e^2\sqrt{m^*}}{\sqrt{2}\varepsilon\hbar}. \quad (14)$$

When the generators act on the polynomial in Eq. (10), we can obtain the following recurrence relation:

$$\hbar\omega(k - j)P_{k+1}(\lambda) - \lambda P_k(\lambda) - k(k + 2m)P_{k-1}(\lambda) = 0 \quad (15)$$

with the initial condition  $P_0(1) = 1$ . If  $\lambda_i$  is a root of the polynomial  $P_{k+1}(\lambda)$ , the wavefunction is truncated at  $k = j$  and belongs to the spectrum of the Hamiltonian  $T$ . This property implies that the wavefunction is itself the generating function of the energy polynomials.

The roots of the recurrence relation in Eq. (15) can be computed, and the first few of them are given by

$$\begin{aligned} P_1(\lambda) &= \lambda, \\ P_2(\lambda) &= \lambda^2 - j(1 + 2m)\hbar\omega, \\ P_3(\lambda) &= \lambda[\lambda^2 - (5j + 6km - 4m - 4)\hbar\omega], \\ P_4(\lambda) &= \lambda^4 - 2\lambda^2[j(6m + 7) - 8m - 11]\hbar\omega + j(j - 2)(1 + 2m)(3 + 2m)(\hbar\omega)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

The function  $P_j(r)$  forms a basis for  $sl_2$ -algebra, and it can be written in the form

$$P_j(r) = \sum_{k=0}^j \frac{j!(2m)!P_k(\lambda)}{(j - k)!k!(2m + k)!} (\hbar\omega r)^n. \quad (17)$$

Therefore, we can obtain the eigenfunction of Eq. (1) in a closed form, at least, the first few values of  $j$ .

#### IV. RESULTS

The polynomial  $P_k(\lambda)$  vanishes for  $k = j + 1$ , and the roots of the polynomials are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda &= 0, \\ \lambda &= \mp\sqrt{(1+2m)\hbar\omega}, \\ \lambda &= 0, \mp\sqrt{(3+4m)\hbar\omega}, \\ \lambda &= \mp\sqrt{(10+10m \mp \sqrt{73+128m+64m^2})\hbar\omega}\end{aligned}\tag{18}$$

for  $j = 0, 1, 2$  and  $3$ , respectively. It is obvious that the effective frequency  $\omega$  can be expressed as

$$\omega = \frac{\lambda^2}{\hbar\eta(j, m)},\tag{19}$$

where  $\eta(j, m)$  is the coefficients of  $\hbar\omega$  in Eq. (18). The relation in Eq. (19) leads to the following conclusion: The Coulomb interaction destroys the general symmetry of the QD, but the magnetic field can restore the symmetries which are common for a harmonic oscillator and Coulomb system. This feature implies that for some specific values of the magnetic field  $B$ , the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1) can be solved exactly. Since the effective frequency  $\omega$  is a function of  $B$ , the relation in Eq. (19) can be written as

$$\omega_c \equiv \frac{eB}{m^*} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{e^4 m^*}{\eta \epsilon^2 \hbar^3}\right)^2 - \omega_0^2}.\tag{20}$$

In order to find the exact eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of Eq. (1), it is enough to perform the calculation in Eq. (20). The accuracy of the approximate and the numerical results can be checked by means of the exact solution given in the previous section. Let us consider the *GaAs* heterostructure. The material parameters of this structure are chosen to be  $m^* = 0.067m_0$  and  $\varepsilon = 12.4$ . The magnetic field is calculated and tabulated in Table 1. The confinement energy  $\hbar\omega_0$  is given as  $4 \text{ meV}$ .

#### V. CONCLUSION

We have shown that the destroyed symmetry of the electrons in a quantum dot can be recovered by adjusting the magnetic field and that there is an infinite set of exact analytical

|     | $\hbar\omega_c$ |          |          | $E_r$     |          |          |       |
|-----|-----------------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|-------|
| $j$ | $m = 0$         | $m = 1$  | $m = 2$  | $m = 0$   | $m = 1$  | $m = 2$  | $N_r$ |
| 1   | 1.870092        | 0.623318 | 0.373936 | 1.870109  | 1.246710 | 1.121980 | 0     |
| 2   | 0.311582        | 0.133339 | 0.084627 | 0.467527  | 0.333828 | 0.297140 | 0     |
| 3   | 0.100529        | 0.050923 | 0.033572 | 0.201694  | 0.154332 | 0.137109 | 0     |
| 3   | 1.284394        | 0.502495 | 0.321600 | 2.568837  | 1.507640 | 1.286700 | 1     |
| 4   | 0.043551        | 0.024225 | 0.015929 | 0.110700  | 0.088650 | 0.078318 | 0     |
| 4   | 0.240664        | 0.111710 | 0.074112 | 0.601993  | 0.391842 | 0.335014 | 1     |
| 5   | 0.021771        | 0.012144 | 0.006844 | 0.069584  | 0.056970 | 0.048959 | 0     |
| 5   | 0.082677        | 0.043767 | 0.029690 | 0.249192  | 0.177607 | 0.152686 | 1     |
| 5   | 1.005801        | 0.427792 | 0.284926 | 3.0174987 | 1.711430 | 1.425080 | 2     |

TABLE I: The cyclotron frequency of the electron and the corresponding eigenvalues.

solutions of the Schrödinger equation for two electrons in an external homogeneous magnetic field. It is easy to see that the confinement region of the electrons in the dot can be expressed as

$$\ell = \left( \frac{\hbar}{m^*\omega} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (21)$$

This may be interpreted as follows: The size of the QD depends on the quantum number of the angular momentum and the external magnetic field. When the magnetic field increases, the dot size will decrease, and the electrons have higher angular momentum.

Finally, we have mention that the method given in this article can be extended in various directions. The algebra can be modified to investigate the hidden symmetries of the interacting electrons in quantum dots[16]. As it is known that spin-related phenomena are the key ingredient in the emerging field of spintronics[17], the Hamiltonian representing the Rashba and Dresselhaus spin-orbit coupling can be treated by using the  $osp(2, 1)$  or the  $osp(2, 2)$  algebra within the framework of quasi-exact solvability.

---

[1] L. Jacak, P. Hawrylak and . Wojs, “Quantum Dots” (Springer, Berlin, 1998).

[2] W. Que, Solid State Commun. **81**, 721 (1992).

- [3] M. El-Said, Semicond. Sci. Technol. **9**, 272 (1994); M. El-Said, Turk J. Phys **26**,331 (2002);  
M. Abu Saa and M. El-Said, Physics Scripta. **54**, 309 (1996).
- [4] R. M. G. Garcia-Castelan, W. S. Choe and Y. C. Lee, Phys. Rev. B **57**, 9797 (1998).
- [5] S. Klama and E. G. Mishchenko, J.Phys.: Condens. Matter **10**, 3411 (1998).
- [6] M. Alberg and L. Wilets, Phys. Lett A **286**, 7 (2001).
- [7] S. Lopez and F. Dominguez-Adame, Semicond. Sci. Technol. **17** 227 (2002).
- [8] M. Taut, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **32** 5509 (1999).
- [9] A. Matulis, F. M. Peters, J. Phys. Condens Matter **6**, 7751 (1994).
- [10] J. L. Zhu, J. Z. Yu, Z. Q. Li and Y. Kawazoe, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **8**, 7857 (1996).
- [11] G. Levai, J. Phys. A: Math Gen. **35**, 689 (1989).
- [12] A. V. Turbiner and A. G. Ushveridze, Phys Lett. A **126**, 81 (1987).
- [13] C. M. Bender and G. V. Dunne, J. Math. Phys. **37**, 6 (1996).
- [14] A. V. Turbiner, Phys. Rev. A **50**, 5335 (1994).
- [15] N. S. Simonovic and R. G. Nazmitdinov, Phys. Rev. B **67**, 041305(R) (2003).
- [16] Y. E. Kim and A. L. Zubarev, Phys. Lett. A **289**, 155 (2001).
- [17] S. A. Wolf, D. D. Awschalom, R. A. Buhrman, J. M. Daughton, S. von Molnr, M. L. Roukes,  
A. Y. Chtchelkanova, and D. M. Treger, Science **289** 1488 (2001)